### **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

| PCT  | PCT  WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1) |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHO  |   |  |  |  |
| Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)   |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220  FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below   |   |  |  |  |
| International application No.  PCT/B2004/004204  International filing date (day/month/year)  15.12.2004  Priority date (day/month/year)  19.12.2003  |   |  |  |  |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B62D29/00, B62D23/00   |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant FERRARI S.P.A.   |   |  |  |  |
| 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  □ Box No. I Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. |   |  |  |  |
| Name and mailing address of the ISA:  Authorized Officer   |   |  |  |  |

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Hageman, L

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3402



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/004204

IAP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 19 JUN 2006

|    |                         |  | <b>20100 010110 19 JUN</b>  | 200       |  |
|----|-------------------------|--|---|-----------|--|
| _  | Во                      | x N  | o. I Basis of the opinion   |           |  |
| 1. | Wit<br>the              | th re  | gard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application i guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  | in        |  |
|    | ⊠                       | lar  | is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the follow<br>iguage GB, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search<br>inder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).                                      | ving<br>h |  |
| 2. | Wit                     | th re<br>cess  | gard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:  |           |  |
|    | a. t                    | ype  | of material:  |           |  |
|    |                         |  | a sequence listing  |           |  |
|    |                         |  | table(s) related to the sequence listing  |           |  |
|    | b. f                    | orm  | at of material:   |           |  |
|    |                         |  | in written format   |           |  |
|    | ı                       |  | in computer readable form   |           |  |
|    | c. t                    | ime  | of filing/furnishing:   |           |  |
|    |                         |  | contained in the international application as filed.  |           |  |
|    | l                       |  | filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  |           |  |
|    | 1                       |  | furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.  |           |  |
| 3. |                         | In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.  |   |           |  |
| 4. | 4. Additional comments: |  |   |           |  |
|    |                         |  |   |           |  |
|    | Bo                      | x No   | . Il Priority   | _         |  |
| 1. |                         | The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date. |   |           |  |
| 2. |                         | has  | s opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claims been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international g date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date. | ì         |  |
| 3. | Add                     | fitior   | al observations, if necessary:  |           |  |
|    |                         |  |   |           |  |

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2-7,10-18

No: Claims

No:

1,8,9

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

4-7,12-18 1-3,8-11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V.

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 5 767 476 A (IMAMURA ET AL) 16 June 1998 (1998-06-16)

D2: US 4 988 230 A (BANTHIA ET AL) 29 Januari 1991 (1991-01-29)

D3: DE 44 07 501 A1 (ADAM OPEL AG, 65428 RUESSELSHEIM, DE) 14

September 1995 (1995-09-14)

D4: GB 1 497 403 A (SLOGGETT C) 12 January 1978 (1978-01-12)

D5: US 5 435 110 A (STOL ET AL) 25 July 1995 (1995-07-25)

### 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A metal frame made up of the union of a plurality of extruded elements (23); the frame comprising a plurality of linear bars (23), which have a constant cross section, are obtained by extrusion, and are joined to one another by means of welding at structural nodes defined by jointing bodies (21, 27); each jointing body (21, 27) having a number of respective pockets (22a, 22b, 22c), which are designed to house corresponding linear bars (23); where the frame is such, that each joining body (21, 27) is of a box type and is made up of the union of a respective load-bearing element (21), which is substantially obtained by extrusion and has a given direction of extrusion, with at least one pair of plane closing metal sheets (27), which are set perpendicular to the direction of extrusion and are welded to the load-bearing element (21) on opposite sides of the load-bearing element (21) itself.

### 3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 8

3.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 8 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
Claim 8 discloses a motor vehicle with a metal frame, having all the features of claim 1. D1 discloses an automotive frame with all these features, cf. point 2.1.

### 10/583458 AP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 19 JUN 2006 International application No.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IB2004/004204

### 4 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 9

4.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 9 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Claim 9 discloses a method for the fabrication of a metal frame, having all the features of claim 1.

Document D1 discloses a frame assembly method with all these features, cf. point 2.1.

### 5 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2, 3

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 2 and 3 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

5.1 The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 2, and discloses claim 1 (cf.point 2.1) on which claim 2 is dependent.

The subject-matter of claim 2 therefore differs from this known metal frame in that:

the load-bearing element is formed by the lateral union of a number of simple elements, each of which is obtained directly via extrusion and has a given direction of extrusion parallel to the direction of extrusion of the other simple elements.

However, these features have already been employed for the same purpose in a similar metal frame, see document D2, column 9, lines 28-46, where two simple elements (220 and 222) constitute a jointing body. It would be obvious to the person skilled in the art, namely when the same result is to be achieved, to apply these features with corresponding effect to the load-bearing element in a metal frame according to document D1, thereby arriving at a construction according to claim 2.

5.2 The additional feature of claim 3, joining these simple elements by welding is known from D2, column 3, lines 1-4.

### 6 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 10, 11

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 2 and 3 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

- 6.1 Claim 10 discloses a method according to claim 9, for the fabrication of a frame with all the features of claim 2, and is therefore not inventive, cf. points 4.1 and 5.1.
- 6.2 The additional feature of claim 11, joining these simple elements by welding is known from D2, column 3, lines 1-4.

### 7 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 4-7, 12-18

The combination of the features of dependent claims 4-7, 12-18 are neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art.